



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,085	01/08/2001	Stephen R. Palm	1875.0030001	5148

26111 7590 10/26/2004

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

BATES, KEVIN T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2155

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/755,085	Applicant(s) PALM, STEPHEN R.	
	Examiner Kevin Bates	Art Unit 2155	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on September 7, 2004.

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 objected to because of the following informalities: there are two steps labeled (e) and (f). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abecassis (6192340).

Regarding claim 1, Abecassis discloses a method for providing multimedia content over a network (Column 2, line 62 – Column 3, line 4), comprising the steps of: (a) connecting at least one multimedia device (Column 5, lines 20 – 25) to at least one media server storing a plurality of selectable multimedia clips over a communications network (Column 11, lines 58 – 64); (b) selecting at least one of said plurality of selectable multimedia clips for playing by said at least one multimedia device (Column

Art Unit: 2155

16, lines 47 – 67); (c) generating a playlist wherein said selected at least one of said plurality of selectable multimedia clips is included therein (Column 15, lines 58 – 62); (d) transferring said generated playlist from said selected media server to said at least one multimedia device (Column 16, lines 20 – 24); and (e) rendering said playlist (Column 16, lines 31 – 37).

Regarding claim 2, Abecassis discloses that said communications network is a local home communications network (Column 12, lines 41 – 43).

Regarding claim 3, Abecassis discloses that said communications network is a public communications network (Column 11, lines 12 – 19).

Regarding claim 4, Abecassis discloses that said communications network is the Internet (Column 11, line 19).

Regarding claim 5, Abecassis discloses that said playlist file comprises audio data (Column 15, lines 58 – 67).

Regarding claim 6, Abecassis discloses the steps of (e) displaying a list of said media servers available to said at least one multimedia device (Column 25, lines 59 – 67); and (f) selecting one of said media servers from said list of said media servers available to said at least one multimedia device (Column 26, lines 1 – 7).

Regarding claim 7, Abecassis discloses a method for providing multimedia content over a network (Column 2, line 62 – Column 3, line 4), comprising the steps of: (a) displaying a list of one or more media servers storing a plurality of selectable multimedia clips available to one or more multimedia devices (Column 25, lines 59 – 67); (b) selecting a media server from said list of one or more media servers (Column

Art Unit: 2155

25, lines 36 – 43); (c) connecting said one or more multimedia devices (Column 5, lines 20 – 25) to said selected media server via a browser interface (Column 11, lines 58 – 64; Column 6, line 62 – Column 7, line 8); (d) selecting at least one of said plurality of selectable multimedia clips from a menu generated by said media server (Column 9, lines 51 – 58) for rendering by said one or more multimedia devices (Column 16, lines 47 – 67); (e) generating a playlist in a standard Internet markup language at said media server; (f) transmitting said playlist to at least one of said multimedia devices; (e) parsing said playlist at said at least one multimedia device and (Column 3, lines 26 – 30; Column 24, lines 12 – 20) transferring said selected at least one of said stored plurality of selectable multimedia clips from said selected media server to at least one multimedia device (Column 13, line 63 – Column 14, line 7); and (f) rendering said selected at least one of said plurality of selectable multimedia clips by retrieving files defined in said playlist (Column 14, lines 60 – 63).

Regarding claim 8, Abecassis discloses a networked based multimedia delivery system (Column 2, line 62 – Column 3, line 4) comprising: (a) at least one multimedia device having input means and display means through which a user may request multimedia clips and output means through which requested multimedia clips may be played (Column 5, lines 25 – 36); (b) at least one media server in communications with said at least one multimedia device for generating a playlist file containing multimedia clips (Column 15, lines 58 – 62) and providing said playlist file to said at least one multimedia device in response to said user's request for multimedia clips (Column 16, lines 20 – 24); and (c) a local home communications network for interfacing said at

least one multimedia device with said at least one media server (Column 12, lines 41 – 43).

Regarding claim 9, Abecassis discloses the steps of (d) an access link for connecting said local home communication network to said at least one media server over a public communications network (Column 11, lines 1 – 19); and (e) an access gateway for translating communications protocols between said local home communications network and said access link (Column 11, lines 12 – 15).

Regarding claim 10, Abecassis discloses that said public network is the Internet (Column 11, line 19).

Regarding claim 12, Abecassis discloses that said multimedia device is designed to (a) be automatically configured on said local home communications network (Column 5, lines 49 – 56); (b) resolve a host name in a URL using DNS call (Column 2, lines 45 – 50); (c) issue HTTP request; (d) receive HTTP responses containing MIME objects; (e) display WML and HTML content (Column 25, line 59 – Column 26, line 7); (f) parse said playlist; (g) interactively search a database of track, album, and playlist information; (h) mix said playlist with local content; and (i) receive channels of multimedia clips from said media server (Column 27, lines 41 – 52).

Regarding claim 13, Abecassis discloses that said multimedia device is designed to (a) be automatically configured on said local home communications network (Column 5, lines 49 – 56); (b) issue HTTP request; (c) receive HTTP responses containing MIME objects (d) display WML and HTML content (Column 25, line 59 – Column 26,

line 7); (e) parse said playlist; and (f) mix said playlist with local content (Column 27, lines 41 – 52).

Regarding claim 14, Abecassis discloses a networked based multimedia delivery system comprising (Column 2, line 62 – Column 3, line 4): (a) at least one media server for generating a playlist file from a plurality of centrally stored multimedia clips in response to a user request (Column 15, lines 58 – 63); and (b) at least one multimedia device in communications with said at least one media server for generating said user request, wherein said at least one multimedia device is further used to receive and parse said generated playlist file (Column 15, lines 58 – 63).

Regarding claim 16, Abecassis discloses a multimedia device for use in a network based multimedia delivery system (Column 2, line 62 – Column 3, line 4) comprising: (a) means for automatically configuring the multimedia device on a communications network (Column 16, lines 31 – 37); (b) means for displaying at least one media server in communications with the multimedia device over said communications network, wherein said at least one media server has a plurality of stored multimedia clips; (c) means for interactively searching said plurality of stored multimedia clips using all or a portion of a text string (Column 25, lines 59 – 67); (d) means for passively searching said plurality of stored multimedia clips (Column 16, lines 47 – 67); (e) means for requesting at least one of said plurality of stored multimedia clips from said at least one media server; (f) means for receiving a remotely generated playlist data file from said at least one media server over said communications network, wherein said remotely generated playlist data file is comprised of data identifying said

Art Unit: 2155

requested at least one of said plurality of stored multimedia clips (Column 24, lines 12 – 20); (g) means for parsing said remotely generated data file (Column 15, lines 1 – 14); and (h) means for displaying said remotely generated data file with local data (Column 9, lines 16 – 19).

Regarding claim 17, Abecassis discloses that said multimedia device is connected to said media server via a TCP/IP network (Column 27, lines 10 – 25; where ISP and internet connections use TCP/IP), and the step of selecting at least one of said plurality of selectable multimedia clips is performed (Column 27, lines 41 – 42) on said media server using a browser interface provided to said multimedia device by said media server (Column 19, lines 1 – 8; Figure 5 and 6)

Regarding claim 18, Abecassis discloses that said media server generates said playlist in response to said selection of multimedia clips received from said multimedia device (Column 2, line 62 – Column 3, line 4; Column 3, lines 24 – 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 15, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis in view of Jones (6256623).

Regarding claims 11, 15, and 19, Abecassis does not explicitly indicate that said

playlist is comprised of XML or any other standard Internet markup language format. Jones teaches a multimedia system with a server that returns lists of information from a server and that the list can be in the form of XML (Column 9, lines 12 – 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use XML to structure the playlist in order to help the multimedia device display the contents of that list (Column 3, lines 28 – 37; Column 9, lines 14 – 21).

Regarding claim 20, Abecassis discloses that said step of rendering said playlist is performed by the multimedia device, and comprising the further steps of: parsing said playlist in said multimedia device; and retrieving digital multimedia files specified in said playlist over said communications network in response to said parsing operation for playback at said multimedia device (Column 24, lines 12 – 20).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the prior art discloses that the media device produces the playlist and not the media server. The examiner disagrees because as seen in Column 27, lines 41 – 52, the prior art discloses that the playlist can be generated both locally and remotely.

The Applicant also argues, in reference to claims 11 and 15, that the use of XML is not suggest by either of the references cited, nor any motivation to generate and transmit a playlist in XML. The examiner disagrees, in the reference Abecassis, it is discloses that the playlist is composes of a template that is sent to the media device

with information about the sequence of multimedia clips with links and pointers for the device to know where to find those clips stored (Column 24, lines 12 – 20), but does not indicate that the template is in the format of XML. Jones discloses passing a template from a server to a user that includes links or pointers to the location information is stored (Column 7, lines 30 – 38), and that the template should be a tag based language, such as XML (Column 9, lines 14 – 21). The motivation for the combination also comes from the Jones reference, where it is discloses that using these tag based languages for passing of information retrieved from the remote server because these tag based languages provide the device a consistent user interface and a consistent set of rules for finding the information (Column 3, lines 33 – 37) where these rules can tell a system how to handle different types of information such as weather forecasts and stock quotes (Column 9, lines 18 – 20), which is part of the key concept in the reference, Abecassis located on Column 2, lines 11 – 19).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2155

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

KB
October 22, 2004


HOSAIN ALAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER